

SDRC Occasional Paper Series No. 2 2012-B

# A FILIPINO FEMINIST PERSPECTIVE ON THE RH BILL DEBATES

Jeane C. Peracullo, Ph.D.

Philippine Copyright 2012 by Social Development Research Center-DLSU

ISSN 2244-0372

All rights reserved. No part of this series may be reproduced (in any manner whatsoever) without the express agreement of the author and the Social Development Research Center.

The opinions expressed herein are those of the author and do not necessarily reflect the views of the Center.

Series Editor: Connie Jan Maraan

Layout Artist: Maria Catherine D. Domingo

#### **About SDRC**

SDRC is the Social Science research arm of the College of Liberal Arts of De La Salle University, Manila. Its mandate is to conduct research about, build capacities for, and promote public awareness and discussion of emerging social issues about quality of life, social development, and the nation's growth. The Center seeks to fulfill a two-fold mission: To serve as a hub in the Philippines and the Asia-Pacific region for research and advocacy, and to form a bridge between the academic community on the one hand, and the society and community it is serving on the other. Its vision is for Filipinos and Asian and Pacific peoples to enjoy an improved way of life, and to live under decent conditions, in an environment worthy of human dignity and respect.

Social Development Research Center De La Salle University 2401 Taft Ave., Manila 1004 Philippines Tel +632 524-5349 / 524-5351 Fax +632 524-5351 www.dlsu.edu.ph/research/centers/sdrc www.sdrc.org.ph

### Contents

Abstract	i			
About the Author	i			
Introduction	1			
Cultural Ambivalence to Filipinas' Reproductive Realities				
The Context of Choice	4			
Body Praxis: Thinking through Ambiguities and Spaces in Between	6			
The Primacy of Women's Embodied Experience:				
Towards a New Understanding of Autonomy	8			
Conclusion	9			
Endnotes	9			
References	10			

#### Introduction

Women all over the world, including Filipino women, are subjected to countless arguments and refutations about their rights or lack thereof with regard to their reproductive ability. These debates are so highly polarized that discussions can be reduced to whether they are pro-life, which essentially means anti-abortion, or pro-choice, which in contrast means pro-abortion. This is most evident in the current debate on Reproductive Health (RH) legislation in the Philippines. Opponents of the RH Bill as it is dubbed in media often play up the abortion card as a rallying point, which is hardly surprising given that the population is largely Catholic. In issues surrounding reproductive rights, matters of the womb often define women's status, so that women who evade this destiny are often damned as both selfish and sinful.\(^1\) Consequently, there is tension between a woman's story and the cultural, political, racial and social narratives that define her, as seen in debates on reproductive health legislation in general and abortion in particular.

This article argues for the primacy of autonomy and self-determination in framing a perspective on the RH debate, as gleaned through the experience of anguish and shame of Filipinas who must decide on: a) whether to limit the number of children she has, b) how to space the births, c) whether to terminate a pregnancy, or d) whether to use contraception. Traditionally, autonomy is regarded as the right of an individual against coercion and control. If autonomy presupposes freedom to choose, then to be an autonomous being is to be free to choose. Flowing from this, a Filipino feminist perspective on the RH debates claims that the mother is a person, a subject entitled to her own autonomy and self-determination.

It is remarkable that the Declaration of Policy in the consolidated Reproductive Health Bill affirms the primacy of autonomy and self-determination:

The State recognizes and guarantees the exercise of the universal basic human right to reproductive health by all persons, particularly of parents, couples and women, consistent with their religious convictions, cultural beliefs and the demands of responsible parenthood. Toward this end, there shall be no discrimination against any person on grounds such as sex, age, religion, sexual orientation, disabilities, political affiliation and ethnicity.

The Catholic Church recognizes that there should be primacy of conscience in forming an ethical action. I cannot agree more. So why does the Church position itself as the biggest

stumbling block against any form of Reproductive Health measure? Any normative ethic, which includes both Christian and humanist ethics, upholds freedom and relationality as obligating features of personhood. Freedom and relationality as features of human persons are profoundly connected to one another. Instinctively, we know that we cannot grow in freedom except in some nurturing relationships—the loves, the relationships we finally choose to identify within our deepest selves. There is an obligation to respect the right of human persons to determine their own actions and their relationships. This right or this obligation to respect individual autonomy sets a minimum, but is also an absolute requirement for free consent.<sup>2</sup> Lisa Cahill, a Christian feminist moral theologican, notes that feminist ethics employs women's experience as a critical norm in approaching both theological traditions and a social practice. Women's experience challenges women's subordinate status in society. Appeal to women's embodied experience can also be a springboard to cultivating compassion as we recognize that we are all similarly bound and controlled by various forces that limit and/or undermine our quest for genuine emancipation.

#### Cultural Ambivalence to Filipinas' Reproductive Realities

As a Filipina, I am an amalgam of images that are contrasting and contradictory. Sifting through these images to be able to understand the Filipino woman and thus represent her with utmost integrity has also largely defined my feminist work. Centuries of colonialization certainly leave deep grooves in Filipino women's bodies, which are at once holding us back and mocking us to dare escape their clutches. Among Asian women, Filipino mothers have the highest number of children and one often sees a mother, thin to the point of emaciation, clutching their hands. And if these were not the most glaring of images, Filipinas are often represented in the Western world as highly sexualized and desirous of domestic partnerships with Western men, as we can glean from the number of dating websites that proliferate in cyberspace. Alongside these images, we see representations of educated Filipino women bravely and resolutely leaving their loved ones and country behind to work in strange lands as domestic helpers, nurses, caregivers and

quite a seamless, bigger picture that represents Filipinas in the throes of cultural double-bind: seemingly empowered but really not.

Consider this: Infant mortality in the Philippines is 36 per 1,000 live births. Sixty percent of all pregnancies are considered high risk.<sup>3</sup> Eleven mothers die daily while bearing children, according to the 2008 National Demographic and Health Survey conducted by the National Statistics Office. One in every six pregnancies is aborted clandestinely. Around 400,000 clandestine abortions are recorded in the Philippines every year, and 205 Filipinas die daily from unsafe abortions. These data reveal just how dangerous pregnancy is to Filipino women. But the danger is not emphasized in mainstream media, which prefers to focus on romanticized stereotypes of pregnant women who quiver with anticipation for the birth of their babies, or of (very!) young mothers who derive ultimate happiness in seeing their children eat, play or sleep. These romantic stereotypes of the naturally caring woman are deeply entrenched in the cultural psyche of Filipinos. The discovery of an aborted fetus regularly makes news, with the reporters usually remarking just how helpless these little "angels" are and how callous and unfeeling their mothers are to abort them. The remarks are unsurprisingly derived from myths about abortion.

With regard to abortion, according to a study made by Corazon Raymundo et al<sup>4</sup>, it is commonly believed that only certain types of women undergo abortion--the loose in morals, prostitutes, women in the slums, and those engaged in clandestine sexual relationships. Abortion is sought primarily because of the stigma that an illegitimate child will bring to the pregnant woman and her family, or because the single woman is not supposed to have a child. These are myths precisely because those who desired and availed of abortion services were women from all walks of life—they were ordinary women who could be one's relative, friend or neighbor. To abort is a decision made by women even if they are greatly aware that such an act is illegal or is heavily sanctioned both by state and society. What is indicative is how resolute they are in standing up for their choice, even though they consult their partner/spouse, friends and family members in making that choice.<sup>5</sup>

This resolve may come as a surprise to some, as stereotypes about the docility and passivity of Filipinas abound. Filipinas have been brought up to imbibe a certain consciousness that depicts them primarily as caretakers. And this social and political role is rooted in the understanding that because biology has so ordained that women have wombs, it is but natural that they become nurturers.

#### 

have been simultaneously revered and enslaved. Like all other forms of oppression, this one has been embedded in their collective consciousness, and Filipinas thus define themselves by this caregiving role. They are praised for their extraordinary ability to manage the household in spite of the meager salaries their husbands and/or children give them. Some commentators, noting this ability, have even remarked that Filipinas' control of the purse strings demonstrates their power. Using the analysis of Simone de Beauvoir, a seminal French intellectual, Filipinas' awakening to power may be viewed dialectically. There is liberation in the awareness that a woman can take control of her life. She is not passive; she takes charge. However, this liberation is only seeming as it still binds her to socially constructed oppressive roles because she has a womb. But if the figures on abortion are any indication, it is this: to make a choice and to stand up for that choice, however perilous and dangerous it may be, spells the difference between life and death. Often in the case of poor Filipinas, the choice is between food for the family or her soul. As one mother asks for forgiveness from her baby, "I will have to let you go because you cannot live in this starving environment."

#### The Context of Choice

Indeed, there is much anguish over choice, for women—and in this case, Filipinas—are aware that in their situation, it is never abstract. Intellectually, we gather that decision-making involves rational reflection. A good choice, it seems, is one that is well thought-out—positive as well as negative consequences are anticipated. In reality, however, the "goodness" of a choice is determined by how well it participates in the hegemonic and normalizing discourse. All our choices are made in the contexts of our religion, ethnicity, social status, and past experiences.<sup>6</sup> Michael Tan, a Filipino medical anthropologist, notes that many of those who choose to continue with their pregnancy live a hand-to-mouth existence, their children dying i7lxeSg,(chotsc and thau7eo239.07t

to be mothers. Anthropologist Nancy Scheper-Hughes, in *Death without Weeping: The Violence of Everyday Life in Brazil*, observes that mothers, in particular, are sometimes portrayed as larger than life, as all-powerful, and sometimes as all-destructive. Or mothers are represented as powerless, helplessly dependent, and angelic. In the face of these polarizing descriptions, there is the danger of overdistancing ourselves from those we are trying to understand, so as to suggest that there is no common ground at all. This is found in some deconstructionist and postmodernist theories of gender politics, where the categories of "woman" and "mother" are rigorously problematized and deconstructed out of existence.

Perhaps Carol Gilligan put it to words best. In her study about how women make moral choices, she concludes that they approach a decision that affects both self and others, engaging directly the critical moral issue of hurting. Her seminal work on female morality, *In a Different Voice*, shows that Kholberg's theory of moral development fails to fully account for the female subjects' response to his case studies. Gilligan presents a theory of moral development that posits that women tend to think and speak differently from men when they confront ethical dilemmas. Gender differentiation plays a crucial role in moral development: men are fair and value autonomy; women care and value interconnectedness. Gilligan contrasts a feminine ethic of care with a masculine ethic of justice. These gender differences in moral perspective are due to contrasting images of self, i.e. women see themselves in terms of their relationships with others. Morality is seen as responsibility toward others, not just as a right of individuals; moral judgment employs compassion as well as reason.

With regard to the impasse in Reproductive Health debates, it can be reduced to this: A woman's right to choose means that the fetus's right to life is threatened. A fetus's right to life prevents women from having any choice. Rights-based argumentation looks into these issues as a woman's right over her body, a right certainly assured of as a human being. But a dilemma sets in regarding the issue of whose rights take precedence. To engage in pro-life/pro-choice debates, which reduces the complex issues of women's reproductive concerns to abortion, is to be locked within "either/or" options that allow no compromise. Marilen Danguilan reflects upon the stories of Filipinas who have opted to use contraceptives (including resorting to abortion) in Making Choices in Good Faith: A Challenge to the Catholic Church's Teachings on Sexuality and Contraception. She concludes:

To discuss abortion dispassionately demands setting aside one's prejudices and preconceived notions. This can be a heroic task for abortion touches on values that have been shaped by our roots, our relationships, and by the entire context in which we live. Abortion cannot be judged against an absolute moral principle. It cannot be isolated from age, health and well being; family relationships; our ability to raise children in the way that God wants us to; our specific economic conditions; our choice and the values upon which the choice was made. Nor it is simply a case of reducing an extremely complex issue to a single category tagged as "murder" or "heinous crime." <sup>11</sup>

The Filipino people regardless of religion have already voted in favor of Reproductive Health Bill. According to a survey conducted in June 2011 by the Social Weather Station, 73 percent want information on legal methods to be made available by the government, while 82 percent say family planning method is a personal choice.

#### Body Praxis: Thinking through Ambiguities and Spaces in Between

Praxis refers to reflection and action upon the world in order to transform it.<sup>12</sup> We use praxis in a similar sense to capture the new way of thinking, feeling and doing derived from invoking women's embodied experience. We appeal to "experience," which demands recognition, if not primacy, in the formulation of a thoroughly feminist analysis. Body praxis simply means that we allow "embodied" accounts to illuminate and transform our knowledge of ourselves and of threflectgn h Bil6s.32 -24.00m7b necreps; ughly ohnot pr64 (s)TjJ7.envoking women's embodied.

J. Peracullo A

feminists, we should be resolute in framing a discourse that glosses over differences in women. As long as we are mindful of our differences, we can appeal to our embodied experience which, rather than essentializing, highlights an assembly of relations by which we negotiate within and among them. Most of the time, of course, the negotiations involve tragedy and violence, especially when our critical consciousness has already awakened in us the notion that we are more than our bodies. However, the implication of highlighting these relations seems to be that we are not in control of our own bodies, any more than we have the power to flee from them.

## The Primacy of Women's Embodied Experience: Towards a New Understanding of Autonomy

So, how do we respond to the poor Filipinas who are burdened by the tragic demands of multiple pregnancies and children, and at the same time go beyond the polarizing rhetoric of either pro-life or pro-choice? We respond by upholding the primacy of women's embodied experience. Autonomy can be understood as space to articulate one's choice in the way that women, through socialization, make it. This means that the context of such choice involves care, nurture, consideration for others, awareness of bodily processes (which are filtered by several lenses—guilt among them, if they are religious), and also whether one is faithful to one's culture and tradition. Given all these criteria in making a choice, isn't the decision of whether to get pregnant, terminate pregnancy, or be child-less or child-free, a morally informed choice? As one Filipina community health worker puts it:

For many women who have gone through it, it was not so much the physical pain that was unbearable for them but the pain inflicted by self-righteous society. The trauma of abortion lingers long after the procedure is completed. The most common reason for women making this decision is to ensure the survival of her family. With another child to feed, the chances for greater life for her offspring will be greatly compromised. The responsibility to raise this family well drove them to make such a difficult situation, and for that society seeks to punish them. <sup>14</sup>

#### Conclusion

Reproductive control highlights issues of freedom, autonomy and bodily integrity–key issues that affect women. Reproductive rights need to be situated in a framework that recognizes the complexity of women's needs in the sphere of reproduction (Kingdom 1991, 54 in Porter, 1994). Also, reproductive rights are part of reproductive freedom that affirms ideals of equality and autonomy. Given women's body, sexuality, and reproductive potential, reproductive rights affirm equality as an extension of bodily integrity and self determination (Porter, 1994). Only on the basis of such reclamation can we assert woman's moral authority, not merely by virtue of our distinctive embodiment but also by that of our social histories, to adjudicate the complex ethical dilemmas that arise out of our reproductivity. In this relation, a truly Filipino feminist perspective on RH debates is anchored deeply within the lived experience of poor Filipinas burdened by caring for multiple children, who are certainly the poorest of the poor in our midst. The Consolidated Reproductive Health Bill seeks to respond to them.

#### Endnotes

1. Elisabeth Porter, "Abortion ethics: Rights and Responsibilities" in *Hypatia*, 9/3 (Summer

- 7. Michael Tan, "Life," Philippine Daily Inquirer (June 23), 2006.
- 8. Susan Bordo, *Unbearable Weight: Feminism, Western Culture and the Body* (Los Angeles: UCLA Press, 1992).
- 9. Nancy Scheper-Hughes, *Death without Weeping: The Violence of Everyday Life in Brazil* (London: University of California Press, Ltd. 1992), 354.
- 10. Porter, "Abortion Ethics: Rights and Responsibilities," 66-76.
- 11. Marilen J. Danguilan, *Making Choices in Good Faith: A Challenge to the Catholic Church's Teachings on Sexuality and Contraception* (Quezon City: WomanHealth Philippines, 1993), 48.
- 12. Paulo Freire in his book *The Pedagogy of the Oppressed* (New York: Continuum, 1984) uses the word praxis to present a new pedagogy that underscores the simultaneity of word, reflection, and action.
- 13. Bordo, Unbearable Weight, 95.
- 14. Women's Feature Service Phils. Inc.

The Occasional Papers Series was initiated at De La Salle University in 1975 and has featured topics in the social sciences, hard sciences, and the humanities. It is open to contributors from both within and outside the University who seek to express views on specific issues and to provide information that may be useful to other researchers, government, and the wider community. Papers may be submitted to the Center by e-mail (sdrc@dlsu.edu.ph) as a Microsoft Word document. In addition, an additional electronic copy should be submitted in PDF format, to ensure that graphics appear as intended. Contributors should ensure that their papers do not exceed 10,000 words. Please use the APA (author-date) syste.co Tws 9datet'-1. A styersfC-1.malr b 000rgbfCape0